				LEC	Ri	ECEIVED
			EI	NTERED		ERVED ON
			ı	COUNSEL	PARTIES C	OF RECORD
					_	
**************************************	ero ni	OWD T CHO 4		OCT 3 1 2	2011	,
UNITED STAT						
DISTRICT OF N		NEVADA	,	CLERK US DISTRIC	T COURT	,
				DISTRICT OF NE	EVADA	
LINCOALEGO	`	0.10 (77	BY:	-B-H-(M/GG)		DEPUTY
LUIS GALEGO)	3:10-CV-0	00468-1	trh (WGC)		
Dlaintiff)	NATES TO THE	70 OE 1		r	
Plaintiff,	,	MIINUII	LS UF I	THE COURT	Ī	
vs.	1	October 3	1 2011			
v 3.)	October 3	,1, 2011			
BRUCE BANNISTER, et al.,)					
Dito of Diaming 1214 of any	í					
Defendants.	j					
)					
	_					
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
DEPUTY CLERK: <u>JENNIFER COT</u>	<u>TER</u>	REPO	RTER:	<u>NONE APPE</u>	<u>ARIN(</u>	<u>g</u>
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING						
			į.			
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NOI	NE APP	LAKING		<u></u>		
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:						
MILLO LE OKDEK IN CHUMDEKO						

Plaintiff has filed a motion to strike Defendants' answer because the allegations are "impertinent and scandalous" and "their only purpose is to create prejudice against Plaintiff. (Doc. # 55.)

Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) provides for the filing of a complaint and an answer and various other pleadings. Defendants filed their answer on December 1, 2010. (Doc. # 15.) The court has reviewed Defendants' answer, and finds nothing impertinent or scandalous. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to strike (Doc. # 55) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk